PRESERVED

App. F. IX-4

Previous References. (1944. January)
Agency 24 5-38-1-2

File No. 51-44.
Serial Nos. 1-2

Later References.
Mov-Dep. 203 of 44

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. Two

Part B for October 1944.

SUBJECT

Grant of Rs 500 for the construction of the temple of Sri Kasinisweswara Swamy at Santhipatta, Nagapattinam Taluk.

No R. 1616-8 dated 22-9-44

To The Managing Commissioner
Temple Committee
Dy. Comm. Nagapattinam

Sanction the grant of Rs 500/-
as a special case, to Mr. V. Venkata Rao
who has renovated the temple.

R836

No.S.1812/43-44

Enclosure Copies of letters and file of Records.

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE

Bangalore, ..12.th August..... 194 .

51-44-1.

FROM Rajasevaprasakta
M. Seshadri, Esq., B.A.,
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

To

K. Subba Rao, Esq., B.A.,
General Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

Sri Kasivisveswaraswamy Temple at Sankighatta, Magadi Taluq - Grant of Rs.500 for the construction of the temple - sanction sought for -

---

I have the honor to invite kind reference to G.O.No.1637-9/Muz.285-38-2 dated 29th March/1st April 1939, according sanction to the withdrawal and transfer of a grant of Rs.500 given to the Sri Kasivisveswaraswamy Temple at Sankighatta, Magadi Taluq, to Sri Someswaraswamy Temple at Magadi, as Mr. Puttiah, Shanbhogue of Sankighatta, under whose request the grant was given to the Sri Kasivisveswaraswamy Temple, made no serious attempts to renovate this temple, according to the approved estimates, in spite of many opportunities given.

2 In accordance with the orders of Government, the grant was transferred to the Sri Someswaraswamy Temple at Magadi, for being utilised for its repairs.

3 Subsequently, in ~~May~~ 1942, on a representation from Mr. S. Venkat Rao, son of the late Mr. Puttiah, that he has completed the construction of the Sri Kasivisveswaraswamy Temple at Sankighatta, and, ~~of~~ the grant of Rs.500 sanctioned in favour of his father for renovating this temple may be sanctioned, a report was received from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, in his letter No.Muz.395/41-42 dated 24th October (copy enclosed) that, as Mr. S. Venkata Rao has, since, renovated this temple at a cost of Rs.1504, as estimated by the Executive Engineer, Bangalore Division, a grant of Rs.500 may be sanctioned, as prayed for.

4) In reply, the Deputy Commissioner was informed, in this office letter No.D2 Dis.525/42-43 dated 14th December 1942, that no grant could be given, as the work was completed, and there was no representation from the party (Mr. Venkata Rao), before the work was commenced.

636
- R

5 On a representation, again, received on the subject, a report was, again, called for from the Deputy Commissioner.

6 The Deputy Commissioner has, in his letter No.L.Dis.Muz.1013/43-44 dated 19th July 1941 (copy enclosed), reported the facts of this case.

7. I think, that this is a case deserving reconsideration, and that grant of Rs 500 may be sanctioned.

8 I, accordingly, propose that a grant of Rs 500 may be given for this work from the current year's allotment out of the State and General Muzra. funds ~~for sanction~~ for construction and repairs of Muzrai Institutions; and request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained to this proposal, and to pay the grant to Mr. C. Venkata Rao, who has renovated the temple.

9 The file of connected records on the subject, is herewith enclosed for kind reference and return.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

P' 1) The transfer of a grant of Rs 500/- from the Sri Kasi Viswesvaraswami Temple at Sankighatta to the Sri Someswaraswami Temple at Nagadi was sanctioned in G.O.No. 1637-9/Muz 285-38-2 dated 1/4/39 for being utilised for the repairs of the latter temple. This transfer was ordered because, Mr. Puttiah did not make any attempts to complete the work as agreed to by him. It is now reported that one Mr. S. Venkata Rao, son of the late Puttiah has since renovated the Sri Kasi Viswesvaraswami Temple at a cost of Rs 1504/-, and that he has requested that the grant of Rs 500/- originally sanctioned to his father for the renovation of this temple may be paid to him.

2) The D.C. Bangalore supports the petitioners request. The M.C. considers that the case deserves reconsideration and recommends a grant of Rs 500/- for the above work from the current year's allotment out of the State & G.M. funds for the Construction and repairs of Muzrai Institutions, and payment of the same to Mr. Venkata Rao. who has renovated the temple. This item has been included (S119) in the statement sent up separately.

3) The recommendation of the M.C. is for Orders.

B22 24/8. Dft approved
Puz 30/8

for the Construction of Sri Kasi Viswesvarasvami Temple at Sankighatta Nagadi Hy

OFFICE FOR 26 AUG 1944 Vol 2331

4) As the grant had been sanctioned, Mr Venkata Rao has no claim in the grant on the ground that he effected repairs. He should at least have informed the authorities before commencing the work.

5) As however work to the extent of Rs 1504 has been executed, the M. is recommendation to give a grant of Rs 500/- and of this given allotment may be sanctioned as a special case.

A 1 7391/1944

Sec: Sec'y) 24/8

6) A- may be placed

Mr R22) by 28/8

7) KWQ 29/8 by 28/8

8) Submitted with a draft G.O sanctioning.

BRS 29/8 Mr 30/8

2 Govt R 1616-87 dated 22/9/44 To the Accountant with records
" Comptroller
" Collector Bangalore.

Sanctions the grant of Rs 500/- as a special case, to Mr S. Venkata Rao who has renovated the temple.

BD BRS

Letter No.Muz.3995/41-42 dated 24th Oct.1942 from the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore. 4

Subject:- Sanction of a grant of Rs.500 from State Funds - Construction of a temple at Sankighatta in Magadi Taluk - Recommends the -

---

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No.J.1097/38-39 dated 15th April 1939, on the above subject, I have the honor to state that grant of Rs.500 sanctioned for the construction of Sri Kasi Visveswaraswamy Temple at Sankighatta, Magadi Taluk, at the request of Mr. Puttaiya the Shanbhogue of the village, was subsequently transferred to Sri Someswaraswami temple at Magadi in your Order No.Di-Dis.128/39-40 dated 6th September 1939 on the ground that both Mr. Puttaiya the original grantee and Mr. Venkata Rao, his son, failed to renovate the above temple according to the sanctioned estimate.

2 Mr. Venkata Rao, son of the late Mr. Puttaiya, has now applied for the payment of the grant of Rs.500 sanctioned in favour of his father stating that he has since completed the construction of the building as per the sanctioned estimates (vide his petition dated 10/6/39, addressed to the Muzrai Commissioner). The Executive Engineer, Bangalore Division, stated in his letter No.176 dated 28th September 1941, that the repairs carried out for the temple may be valued at Rs.1504. He has also forwarded a statement of works executed by the petitioner.

3 The villagers, in their Mahazar dated 23/6/39 before the Revenue Inspector, state that the petitioner has executed works to the extent of Rs.1,776 already and is attending to the remaining works estimated at Rs.214-12-0 and that the entire amount has been spent by the petitioner out of his own private funds and that the grant of Rs.500 which had been originally sanctioned for this work on the representation of his father, late Mr. Puttaiya, may be sanctioned from State Grant etc.

4 A pseudonymous petition under the signature of one Ramanna, calling himself to be a native of Sankighatta was received in this Office alleging that the petitioner Mr. Venkata Rao raised subscriptions from the villagers in the said village and renovated the temple in question out of the funds so collected and as such no amount should be paid to him on this account from Government etc. The petition was forwarded to the Sub Division Officer, Closepet, for necessary enquiry and report on the allegations. The Amildar, Magadi Taluk, states in his report No.Muz.C.27/39-40 dated 16-11-1941, that he made personal enquires in the village and in the adjoining villages regarding the allegations against the petitioner, and found that there was no person by name Mr. Ramanna anywhere. Further enquires made by him of the leading men in the village revealed that the present petitioner raised no subscriptions for this purpose but on the other hand that he has raised loans executing stamped documents and has utilised the amount for the completion of the building. The Amildar, finally concludes his report stating that Sankighatta is a small village and that some mischievous persons might have adopted this trick to bring the Shanbhogue into trouble.

5 Both the Amildar and the Sub Division Officer recommend that the grant originally sanctioned for this Institution may be renewed in view of the fact that the building has been renovated by the present petitioner as undertaken by his father.

6 In the circumstances stated above, this appears to be a fit case for the renewal of the grant which had once been sanctioned to it.

7 I accordingly recommend that the grant of Rs.500 prayed for by the petitioner may be sanctioned out of the current year's State Grant.

8 The connected file of records is forwarded herewith for favour of reference and return.

---

11 Letter No.I.Dis.Muz.1013/43-44 dated 19th July 1944 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, to the ~~Revenue~~ Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Subject:- Petition from S. Venkata Rao, Son of Mr. Puttaiah of Sankighatta requesting for grant of Rs.830 for the construction of Sri Kasivisveswaraswamy Temple, at Sankighatta, Hiriyasandra Hobli, Magadi Taluk - forwards report on the -

---

With reference to your letter No.S.1012, re-

[illegible] with reference to [illegible] dated 10-10-42, forwarding a detailed [illegible] four copies of each enabling [illegible] could [illegible] your letter No. [illegible] 1/1-42-...)

[illegible] dated 5-10-1942 addressed to [illegible] Mysore, [illegible] of the Civil Administrative Branch of the Civil Defence Organisation at [illegible] 5-10-1942 [illegible]. In that [illegible] of the Government of India [illegible] opportunities

While [illegible] this office letter have referred to, it was [illegible] works to the extent of Rs [illegible] by the Executive Engineer that his request for [illegible] consideration.

[illegible] dated 15-10-42 addressed to [illegible] 14-11-42, I [illegible] in the matter.

5. [illegible] reference is returned herewith. The file of your Secretariat is enclosed for favour of [illegible].

"Copies"

M. N. [illegible]

[illegible] Commissioner.

G. R. S. App. F VI—G. 2]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 636 .....

General and Revenue Secretariat.

( )

the 194 .

Date of issue... 22-9-44 194 .
w/ps

Signed by ..... Mr S.K.U

Section. Mezrai.

File No. 51-44.

Serial No. 2

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read— G O No. 1637-9/Mezrai 28s dated 1/4/39 sanctioning the withdrawal of the grant of Rs 500/- (Sanctioned from Mezrai Funds) for repairs to Sri Kasiviswesvaraswami Temple at Sankighalla Village, Magadi Tq and transferring the amount to Sri Someswara Swami Temple at Magadi for repairs.

Order thereon.—No.

2 Letter No S.-1812/43-44 dated 12/8/44 from the D.C. stating that the D.C. Bangalore Distt, has reported that one Mr S. Venkata Rao, Son of the late

P.T.O.

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a Standing Order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the Press ?

(iv) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Mr Puttiah (~~on whose Request the grant had been transferred to the Temple at Sendighatta~~) has represented that he has completed the Construction of the Sri Kasi-Viswesvara Swami Temple and that the grant of Rs 500/- sanctioned in favour of his father for repairing the temple may be paid to him as he has since renovated the Temple at a Cost of Rs 1504/- and recommending that the Case deserves reconsideration, and requesting, ~~in the Circumstances Mentioned~~, sanction to a grant of Rs 500/- being given for the above work from the Current year's allotment out of the State and Gen Muzrai Funds for Construction and repairs of Muzrai Institutions, to Mr S. Venkata Rao who has renovated the temple.

List of Enclosures

(Order R1616 ~~8/~~ Mus 3: 44-1: 22-7-41)

Govt observe that as the grant had been withdrawn, Mr Venkata Rao has no claim to the grant on the ground that he effected repairs, and that he should at least have informed the authorities before commencing the work. As however work to the extent of Rs 1504/- is reported to have been executed, Govt

Sanction in the case

Copied by

Examined by

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft/form.*)

are pleased to sanction a grant of Rs 500/- as a special case, to Mr S. Venkata Rao as recommended by the C.C.

30/V

for General Secretary

To The Mysore Comm. with records

" Controller of Forests

" D.F.O. Bangalore

BRS 29/8

WD 0433—GPB—10,000—17-10-33